Motivation

Kinesin switches its microtuble (MT) binding affinity between strong binding mode and weak binding mode depending on nucleotide states. For understanding this allosteric regulation mechanism, we studied the structural fluctuations of the motor head of the single-headed kinesin KIF1A in different nucleotide states using computer simulations of coarse-grained Go-like model.

0 ′		I ′		11′		111′		IV′		\mathbf{V}'		0 ′
MK		MKT	<u></u>	MK*T	\rightarrow	MKDP	\rightarrow	MK*DP		MKD		MK
11		11		11		11		11		11		11
K	\rightleftharpoons	ΚT		K*T	\rightarrow	KDP	\rightarrow	K*DP	\rightarrow	KD	$\stackrel{\longrightarrow}{\leftarrow}$	Κ
0		Ι		П		111		IV		V		0

Figure: KIF1A-MT hydrolysis cycle

K:KIF1A, M:MT, T:ATP, D:ADP, DP:ADP·Pi

0', I', II', III': Strong-binding mode, IV', V': Weak-binding mode

Method

- **1** A coarse-grained C_{α} Go-like model for *each* nucleotide state.
- 2 Nucleotides are also represented by a few beads
- Is Langevin dynamics simulations for investigating the structural fluctuations when MT is absent.

Reference states

	l	II		IV	V
binding mode		weak			
nucleotide state	ATP-1	ATP-2	ADP·Pi-1	ADP·Pi-2	ADP
analog	AMP-PCP	AMP-PNP	ADP-AIFx	ADP-Vi	ADP
PDB ID	1161	1VFV	1VFX	1VFZ	1I5S

Table: Nucleotide states and the corresponding analog states. PDB IDs indicate of X-ray structures used as the reference structures of Gō-like models.



Figure: Superposition of the five reference structures. Highlighted are the switch II cluster regions.

Allosterically Regulated Structural Fluctuation and Microtubule-Binding Affinity of KIF1A – A Simulation Study of Coarse-Grained Model –

Macoto Kikuchi, Ryo Kanada* and Fumiko Takagi (Cybermedia Center, Osaka University, Japan. * Department of Biophysics, Kyoto University, Japan)

Model

1 Total energy

 $V_{\text{tot}} = V_{\text{p}}(\text{protein}) + V_{\text{n}}(\text{nucleotide}) + V_{\text{pn}}(\text{interaction}) + V_{\text{nn}}(\text{for ADP} \cdot \text{Pi})$ Intra-molecule energy

$$V_{p,n}(\Gamma, \Gamma^{(0)}) = \sum_{\text{bonds}} K_r \left(b_i - b_i^{(0)} \right)^2 + \sum_{\text{angles}} K_\theta \left(\theta_i - \theta_i^{(0)} \right)^2 + \sum_{\text{dihedrals}} K_\phi \left[\left(1 - \cos(\phi_i - \phi_i^{(0)}) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \cos 3(\phi_i - \phi_i^{(0)}) \right) \right] + \sum_{i < j - 3}^{\text{native}} K_{nc} \left[5 \left(\frac{r_{ij}^{(0)}}{r_{ij}} \right)^{12} - 6 \left(\frac{r_{ij}^{(0)}}{r_{ij}} \right)^{10} \right] + \sum_{i < j - 3}^{\text{non-native}} K_{nnc} \left(\frac{C}{r_{ij}} \right)^{12} \right]$$

 $\Gamma^{(0)}$: *referencestructure*, r_{ii} : distance between the *i*th and *j*th C_{α} , $b_{i} = r_{i \ i+1}$ Interaction energy

$$V_{\text{pn}}(\{r_{i,k}\}) = \sum_{i,k}^{\text{native}} k_{\text{pn}} \left[5\left(\frac{r_{ik}^{(0)}}{r_{ik}}\right)^{12} - 6\left(\frac{r_{ik}^{(0)}}{r_{ik}}\right)^{10} \right] + \sum_{i,k}^{\text{non-native}} k_{\text{pn}}^{\text{nnc}} \left(\frac{C}{r_{ik}}\right)^{10} \right]$$

Similar for V_{nn}

Results without nucleotide



Figure: Dynamics of helix α 4 for (A) ATP-1 (B) ADP·Pi-2. $\Delta\Theta$:Relative angle of α 4 to the main body. $Q_{switchII}$:Contact fraction of the native contacts between the switch II and the main body. (C) The histogram of the duration time steps for burst (blue: on-burst, and red: off-burst) in the ATP-1 state.

0.23 ATP-1 = 0.2 - ATP-2------ADP-Pi-1-------주 0.15 - ADP-Pi-2------Burst frequency Figure: (A) Probability distribution Q_{switchII}

' ∠_ i<j−3

(B) Nucleotide state dependence of the burst frequency at T = 1.3 and the equilibrium dissociation constants K_d obtained experimentally(R.Nitta et al., Science 305(2004) 678) (C) Burst frequency and the equilibrium dissociation constants.

(1)

Figure: Temperature dependence of the burst frequency without nucleotide



Bust: A large structural fluctuation

The helix α 4 at the MT binding site intermittently exhibits abrupt large structural fluctuations (Burst). Frequency of the Burst varies systematically according to the nucleotide states and correlates strongly with the experimentally observed binding affinity to MT.



Figure: Snapshots of ATP-1 state. (A) off burst: $Q_{\rm switchII} \sim 0.75$. (B) on burst: $|\Delta \Theta_{\alpha 4}| \sim 80^{\circ}$ and $Q_{\rm switchII} \sim 0.15$. blue: β 8, res: α 4, green: L12, orange: L11

Effect of temperature and nucleotide





Figure: Burst frequency with and without nucleotide

We propose the following mechanism for the MT affinity regulation: **1** The flexibility of the MT binding site (helix α 4 in particular) is allosterically controlled according to the nucleotide states during the ATP hydrolysis process.

2 The affinity to MT is regulated through the flexibility of the MT binding

3 The more flexible the MT biniding site is, the stronger the binding affinity becomes.

R.Kanada, F.Takagi and M.Kikuchi, Proteins 83 (2015) 809.